

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/842,481	Applicant(s) LARSON ET AL.	
	Examiner David Lazaro	Art Unit 2155	

All Participants:

(1) David Lazaro.

(2) Daniel Brownstone (46,581).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11/19/2004

Time: 1:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims as originally filed could be interpreted from an alternate viewpoint that the examiner believed was different than the intended invention. Based on the examiner's search and discussions with primary examiners in the related art, the claims would be allowable if amended to overcome the alternate viewpoint as well as more clearly define the key limitations of the invention. Specifically, the amendments discussed with the applicant's representative involved clarifying the expected sequence number and the relationship of the sequence number and expected sequence number to both the sending side and the receiving side, and the functionality involving the sequence number and expected sequence number in determining whether data is accepted on the receiving end. An agreement was reached on sufficient amendment to make the claims allowable, and an examiner's amendment will be used to make the amendment.